[Inclosure 1.]

Understanding that one of the demands of the French against the Hawaiian Government is for damages claimed by M. Victor, for disorderly conduct of some of the seamen belonging to Her Britannic Majesty's ship *Amphitrite*, we, the undersigned, not wishing any stain to rest on the *Amphitrite* or crew, agree to liquidate said claim:

G. W. Hunter	$3.50	Dugald Mactavish	$3.50
Sea & Sumners	3.50	W. J. Robertson	2.00
W. Wond	3.50	William Gill	3.50
A. Porter	3.50	J. Macduff	2.00
H. Macfarlane	3.50	James Austin	2.00
F. Withington	3.50	R. S. Barker	2.00
James Robinson & Co	7.00	D. N. Flitner	3.50
Isaac Montgomery	3.50	Makee & Anthon	3.50
John Meek	3.50	A. B. Howe	3.50
Robert G. Davis	3.50	Swan & Clifford	3.50
J. C. Spalding	3.50	W. Phillips	2.00
Andrew Auld	1.00	Everett & Co	3.50
C. H. Marshall	1.00	S. W. Williams & Co	3.50
J. M. Stanley	3.50	A. H. Fayerweather	2.00
C. P. Robinson	3.50	A. H. Parker	2.00
Joseph Booth	3.50	T. Cummins	3.00
E. Brown	3.50	George Friel	2.00
George Pelly	3.50	Samuel Thompson	2.00

A much larger sum was subscribed, but as so many persons wished to sign, the amount of subscription was lowered as above.

H. SEA.

[Inclosure 2.]

HONOLULU, *August 30, 1847.*

Received of Henry Sea, esq., the sum of $93.50, being amount claimed by me against H. B. M.'s *Amphitrite* for damages done by her crew at the French hotel, kept by me in Honolulu, and being one of the demands made by Admiral de Tromelin against the Hawaiian Government.

$93.50.

V. CHANCEREL.

Witness to signature,
WM. SUMNER.

A true copy of original receipt in my possession.

H. SEA.

It will be noticed that many nationalities are represented in this document, and two of the signers of the document of 1839, John Meek and George Pelly, were also signers of the above document, which they would not have been had the circumstances of the then French demand been based upon the differences of 1839. The "Hon. J. C. Jones" had left the country. Incidentally it may be stated that Mr. Jones was not United States consul in 1839, he having been removed from that office by his own Government, in 1838, on account of his disreputable character.

11. The unsubstantial nature of the French claims of 1849 and 1851 are evidenced by the fact that upon a knowledge of the situation relating to the United States coming to the French consul he withdrew the "ten demands" and substituted in place thereof a mild statement of four points which was presented for the "consideration" of the Hawaiian Government, which points were thereupon referred by M. Perin to his Government for consideration, and nothing further was ever said or done about the "ten demands."

There continued to be disconnected diplomatic correspondence with France upon various subjects until 1857, when a treaty was concluded with her much more favorable in its terms to Hawaii than any of the three previous treaties with France.

Since that date our relations with France have been without cause of complaint, and we are indebted to the French Government and people for many acts of kindness and courtesy, and are now upon the most cordial terms with France.

In view of the fact that the early religious difficulties between the Hawaiian Government and members of the Catholic faith have been practically made the basis of the charges against me, it is not inappropriate for me to here say for the last forty or fifty years the Catholic bishops and their subordinates in this country have ever been the warm friends of the Hawaiian Government during its many succeeding administrations, and the staunch supporters of peace and good order during the