official courtesy extended up to the date of your present communication was a
concert by the Government hand on the night of our arrival, which was accepted.
Thus, without unnecessary comment, I have considered and endeavored to explain
those portions of your correspondence which bore upon the question of the "purpose
to use force" and the responsibilities arising therefrom.
While duly respecting the doctrine of noninterference by the representative of
another government with your domestic affairs, I shall rejoice to know that any-
thing I have done or said, now or heretofore, has tended to a better understanding
of recent events in your history and of motives and intentions as to which there
may be, as you state, "mutual misapprehension."
Thanking you for the courteous and appreciated terms which you have been
pleased to express and which are cordially reciprocated, and trusting that there may be
a speedy, honorable, and satisfactory adjustment of all pending questions, I have the
honor, with renewed assurances of high consideration to be, sir,
Yours, most respectfully,

ALBERT S. WILLIS.

Hon. SANFORD B. DOLE,
Minister of Foreign Affairs.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES,
Honolulu, Hawaiian Islands, January 26, 1894.

Sir: In the fourteenth paragraph of your communication of January 11, 1894, is the
following statement:

"On November 29 last, having that day for the first time received information
through the Hawaiian minister at Washington of the contents of Mr. Gresham's
letter to the President and of his statements concerning the same and his refusal to
state whether it was the intention of your Government to carry out its policy by
force, I called upon you, in company with the Attorney-General." [2]

I desire to inquire whether the date above mentioned is not a clerical mistake,
as your call upon me was on the 24th, not the 29th, of November, and it was the 24th,
as I am informed, that you received the information above referred to from your
minister at Washington. Am I correct in this?

I wish also in this official way to call your attention to three extracts from your
letters, of which the two first have already been mentioned to you.

In your letter of December 27 you say:

"The Government offices have been placed and still continue in a condition of
defense and preparation for siege and the community has been put into a state of
mind bordering on terrorism."

In your letter of January 11, page 42, you say:

"As a result of which this Government has been, and now is, subjected to the
necessity of increased watchfulness and large additional expense, which, but for
such attitude, would have been unnecessary."

In the same communication, toward the close, you say:

"I can not but believe that it—the necessity of 'taking up arms to meet the possible
hostility' of the United States—has arisen through a misunderstanding of facts
on the part of your Government, and a mutual misapprehension of motives and
intentions, which may, I sincerely hope, at an early day be cleared away."

Asking your attention to the above, I am, sir,

With sincere respect, very truly, yours,

ALBERT S. WILLIS,
Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary,
United States of America.

Hon. S. B. DOLE,
Minister of Foreign Affairs.

DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
Honolulu, Hawaiian Islands, January 18, 1894.

Sir: A communication, dated January 15, 1894, received by me on the 16th, calls
my attention to a probable mistake of dates in my letter to you of January 11, 1894,
in connection with my allusion to a call made by the attorney-general and myself
on you to ask for information concerning the intentions of the United States Gov-
ernment in relation to the recommendations of Mr. Gresham's letter to the President.
My letter stated that this call was made November 29, and that on that day I had
received information of the contents of the Gresham letter. I now find, in accord-
ance with your suggestion, that our call was made some days before November 29,
probably on November 24, the former date being the day upon which I sent you a
written communication on the same subject.