that that restriction was not intended to be placed upon the representa- 
Mr. CULLOM. I find that the House bill as amended by the conference does have the provision in it, and it reads:
And shall be qualified to vote for representatives in the district from which he is elected.
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. What section?
Mr. CULLOM. That is section 40.
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. Then it is a mistake in the print we have on our desks.
Mr. CULLOM. It has been dropped out by my clerk.
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. Is it in the official copy—the conference report?
Mr. CULLOM. It is in the copy before the Senate.
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. In the conference report, signed?
Mr. CULLOM. The report is signed.
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. Are those words added?
Mr. CULLOM. The words are added in red ink in this copy?
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. In this copy there is nothing to show there is an amendment of that kind, but if it is in the conference report, of course it is all right.
Mr. CULLOM. I will read it. The original provision as it passed the House simply read as follows:
And shall be qualified to vote for representatives.

The conferences then added the words:
In the district from which he is elected.
Mr. BACON. It is in the reprint which we had on yesterday, which Mr. CULLOM. It was left out of it by mistake. There is no possible doubt about it being in the bill to be adopted.
Mr. SPOONER. That is all right.
Mr. CULLOM. Is that satisfactory to the Senator from Arkansas?
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. Entirely so. If it is in the official copy, it makes no difference whether it is in this copy or not; it will be all right.
Mr. CULLOM. It is. I ran over the numbers of the sections of the Senate bill wherein no changes are made, being sections 41, 49, 49, 49, 49, 49, 49, 49, 51, except the last clause of section 51, which was stricken out of the Senate bill, and in lieu of it a paragraph was inserted by the House which stated the law more clearly, but which, I think, is substantially the same thing.
Mr. CULLOM. Is there not a change in section 47?
The word “chairman” is stricken out and the words “presiding officer” inserted in italics. I do not know what this print means exactly.
Mr. CULLOM. That is in the bill. It is pretty difficult in handling so many bills to state the facts offhand. To what section does the Senator refer now?
Mr. JONES of Arkansas. Section 47. The word “chairman” is stricken out and the words “presiding officer” inserted. It is a very small matter.
Mr. CULLOM. That is in the bill before the Senate. I recol-lect it very well.
I wish to say that some of the sections I regarded as of very little consequence, and I did not incorporate them in this state-ment, because I did not have the time, and it would have required a good deal of work to do it so as to have what I stated, my remarks accord exactly with the facts in the bill.
Mr. SPOONER. Will the Senator allow me to ask him a question?
Mr. CULLOM. Certainly.
Mr. SPOONER. I see that in section 46 of this reprint, with respect to the reading of bills, it is provided—
That a bill, in order to become a law, shall, except as herein provided, pass three readings in each house on separate days.
The words “on separate days” are in italics, and of course that is an amendment. Was that agreed to by the conference?
Mr. CULLOM. I would rather read it, so as to be sure. What section is that?
Mr. SPOONER. Section 46.
Mr. CULLOM. This is the way the bill reads as reported:
That a bill, in order to become a law, shall, except as herein provided, pass three readings in each house, one of which shall be by the affirmative vote of all the members to which such house is entitled, taken by yeas and nays and entered upon its journal. That is the section as it appears in the conference report. Is that satisfactory?
In section 59, as will be seen by the Senate, the word “Hawaii” was stricken out and the words “Territory of Hawaii” substituted, to make it more clear and so that it should be more properly stated. That was the only change from the Senate section. I think I would rather use the conference report for the next item. In section 88 there was no change made in the Senate section.
I now come to section 84, and here is a provision about which I